#### **Public Document Pack**



To All Members of the Council

Contact:Stephen Addison Scrutiny Secretary Direct: 020-8379-4097

Tel: 020-8379-1000

Ext: 4097

Fax: 020-8379-3177 (DST) Textphone: 020 8379 4419

E-mail: stephen.addison@enfield.gov.uk Council website: www.enfield.gov.uk

Date: 1 May 2009

Dear Councillor,

#### Council - 6 May 2009 - "TO FOLLOW PAPERS"

Please find attached and listed below, four of the items that were not available when the agenda for the above meeting was circulated.

Item No. 9 - Councillors' Question Time

Item No. 10 - Motions

Item No. 12 - Memberships of Committees and Panels

Item No. 16 - Calendar of Meetings

Please note that the papers for Item No. 13 – Appointment of Council Bodies for 2009/2010 and Item No. 14 – Representation on other Bodies and Organisations will be circulated at the earliest opportunity.

Yours sincerely

Stephen Addison **Democratic Services Team** 



#### COUNCILLORS' QUESTIONS 6 MAY 2009

## Question 1 from Councillor Rodin to Councillor Lavender, Deputy Leader of the Council/ Sustainable Communities & Employment & Place Shaping

"Can Councillor Lavender give the council an update on the latest position in relation to the North London Waste Authority's intention to acquire a site for a new waste facility within Enfield?"

#### **Reply from Councillor Lavender:**

"The North London Waste Authority (NLWA) has an all party waste planning group which assesses the needs of the area administered by the NLWA and the identification of capacity and sites. It is known as the North London Waste Planning Members Group (NLWPMG). I am not a member of the NLWPMG. Councillor Neville is a member of that body and a similar question was asked of him at a recent council meeting. The answer to that question is minuted; I do not need to repeat it here. I also attach a copy of Councillor Neville's response to the member for Enfield North following his answer to the supplementary question posed by Councillor Bond.

At the most recent Local Development Framework sub-committee, at which Councillor Goddard was in attendance, we were informed that the North London Waste Plan would be published in September 2009 since no final decisions had currently been made by the NLWPMG.

I understand that Councillor Rodin has made similar requests of the chairman of the NLWA and can only assume given the persistence of the Labour Group in requesting the information within this chamber that the Chairman of the NLWA has also and quite properly declined to disclose the identity of any sites under consideration pending their publication."

## Question 2 from Councillor Rodin to Councillor Lavender, Deputy Leader of the Council/ Sustainable Communities & Employment & Place Shaping

"At the last council meeting, you replied to an urgent question about Broomfield House. Your answer included the following "... in fact £6 million has been awarded for restoration of Broomfield House and stables by Boris for the year 2010/2011 - 3 cheers for Boris! ..." Can Councillor Lavender please let the Council know the following:

- (a) from what fund has this money been allocated?
- (b) what are the stated purposes of this fund?
- (c) by whom is the money provided for this fund?
- (d) what is the total amount available from this fund for Greater London for the year 2010/2011?
- (e) what is the amount in (d) above supposed to deliver for the whole of London?"

#### **Reply from Councillor Lavender:**

- "(a) The council has received an indicative funding allocation of £5.9m from the Mayor of London's targeted funding stream.
- (b) The purpose of the targeted funding stream is to provide funding for specific programmes of work in key areas of housing need. These areas include improving the condition and use of existing homes and in particular, bringing long-term empty properties back into use. Priority projects in this category are schemes which would bring a building listed with English Heritage back into use. Hence the request by this administration and the support of Boris Johnson for the restoration of Broomfield House is entirely proper.
- (c) The money is administered by Boris Johnson under the 2007 GLA Act. Given the inexorable path from local government to local administration under the present Labour government and central government's hypothecation of local government expenditure Councillor Rodin wants me to acknowledge that any expenditure of local government is from the largesse of central government and the benevolence of the Labour Party, well I won't give him that satisfaction. Monies from central government come partly from the taxpayer and in the main (since this government has destroyed the tax-paying capacity of the British economy) from those who initially subscribed for gilts, it does not come out of Labour MP's back-pockets.
- (d) The total funding available from the targeted funding stream for improving the use and condition of existing homes in 2010/2011 is £77m. Given the number of London boroughs and the sum awarded to Enfield for this project, you can see that our lobbying of the Mayor has paid dividends in that we have received a significant share of this money.
- (e) The GLA has not yet confirmed the overall funding programme for 2010/2011 and it is still subject to further review by the Mayor and ministerial sign off. The Mayor's Housing Strategy confirms the Mayor's target to bring over 3,400 empty homes back in to use by 2011.

Three cheers for Boris!"

## Question 3 from Councillor Rodin to Councillor Lavender, Deputy Leader of the Council/ Sustainable Communities & Employment & Place Shaping

In a Conservative Party leaflet, distributed at Palmers Green Triangle on Saturday, 18 April it was stated that Enfield Council has allocated £2 million for the restoration of Broomfield House. When was this decision taken, by whom, and can Councillor Lavender let the council know the relevant council report number?

#### **Reply from Councillor Lavender:**

"Councillor Rodin thinks he may have found our agent in Enfield Southgate has been exaggerating.

Me thinks he might be right.

As part of the penultimate year's budget, authorisation for expenditure on the House and grounds in Broomfield Park was contained within the capital programme and medium term financial strategy to the tune of only £1m not £2m.

(Incidentally Councillor Charamalambous stood up in this council chamber and expressly stated that he refused to support the budget. I am grateful to Councillor Rodin for giving me the opportunity to highlight the lack of support given by the Labour Party to the improvement of the House and grounds.)

However one needs to trawl back a bit further as well. An additional sum was allocated pursuant to Cabinet decision 29 of the Cabinet meeting held on January 16th 2003. It was intended that such allocation be supported by the sale of the stables (of course the sale will not be necessary nor possible and the shortfall will need to be made up in the capital programme as a result if further sums are needed).

Incidentally this was a confidential Part II item but the minutes indicate that Councillor Goddard was in attendance from the Labour Party.

That sum allocated was for £940,000. So only £1,940,000 in total has in principle been allocated not £2m.

I will admonish Councillor Lamprecht for exaggerating to the tune of £60,000 and tell him not to do it in future.

As I stated in the answer to the question, we need to understand what £6m will buy. Once our conservation team has worked up costing and proposals more accurately then a final figure will be arrived upon and a final decision made. Councillor Rodin can rest assured that both he and the Labour Party will be given every opportunity to oppose this initiative in time for the next local elections.

### Question 4 from Councillor Lemonides to Councillor Rye, Leader of the Council

"Do you agree that to run any organisation, including a council, that all members need to understand the risks to that organisation? If so, what arrangements are being made to share the Corporate Risk Register with all members by way of a council meeting agenda item?"

#### **Reply from Councillor Rye:**

"The council has recently revised its risk management strategy setting out roles and responsibilities for both members and officers.

One of the key objectives in the strategy is to ensure that members, Council Management Board, and external regulators can obtain the necessary assurance that the council is mitigating the risks in terms of achieving its objectives and complying with good corporate governance practice.

The strategy clearly states that the Audit Committee will review the Corporate Risk Register every six months. Similarly, it states that the Corporate Risk Register should be considered by the Cabinet on a six-monthly basis. All members receive the Cabinet agenda so will be kept informed in that way. However, I am happy for a report to come to Council annually "

## Question 5 from Councillor G Savva to Councillor Kaye, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Culture, Olympics 2012 and Voluntary Sector

"Will Councillor Kaye inform the council of the start date of the mobile library visiting the site of Weir Hall Library, as he promised in the press in December 2008?"

#### **Reply from Councillor Kaye:**

My original comment to the press referred to a mobile library service in the area "from time to time". I subsequently decided to ensure that the local community was offered a more frequent service on a weekly basis, nearby. The location at Haselbury Junior School was identified as the neighbourhood is more densely populated than the Millfield site and is less than a 15-minute walk away. Moreover, some parents had expressed the view that the relocation of Weir Hall library to Fore Street would have an impact on local schools, so consequently we decided to locate the mobile library on a school site which is also open to members of the public, on Monday afternoons. On average, so far, over 80 people including school children, have been visiting the mobile library on this site, each week.

Construction work at the Millfield Theatre is about to get underway and it would currently prove difficult for the vehicle to enter and leave that particular site safely over the next few months. A site visit with the mobile library will be undertaken and a risk assessment carried out to decide if re-locating the mobile library is possible, in the future.

Between September and February, the old mobile vehicle was off the road as it did not meet with new emission standards. We now have a new mobile vehicle and the regular timetabled stops have resumed. During the time that the mobile library was off the road, school sites were serviced by our smaller delivery vehicle and anyone wishing for a service who could not get to a library service point was offered our Homebound Service direct to their home.

## Question 6 from Councillor G Savva to Councillor Kaye, Cabinet Member for Leisure, Culture, Olympics 2012 and Voluntary Sector

"Will Councillor Kaye inform the Council of the cost for security at Fore Street Library?"

#### **Reply from Councillor Kaye:**

"The security arrangements at Fore Street are exactly the same as those at other libraries, including the former Weir Hall Library. This comprises a set of security gates and electronic tags in the items available for loan. New gates were purchased for Fore Street as the old ones are not compatible with self-service technology. New gates were also purchased for Enfield Island Village Library. These cost around £7k and we will be installing new gates in all libraries as we roll out our self-service option. Security tags are part of a borough wide purchase and cost around 11p each."

#### **Question 1 refers**

Joan Ryan MP House of Commons London SW1P 0AA T Neville OBE JP 020 351 1352

14 April 2009

#### Dear Joan

Thank you for your letter of 20 March about the NLWA and Councillor Bond's questions at the 28 January Council Meeting.

You may rest assured that I do not take lightly the location of new waste facilities, indeed that is why I have given many hours of my time to chairing the North London Waste Planning Members Group to ensure as far as practicable, that we achieve an equitable distribution of waste facilities across the seven boroughs.

The short point here is that site specific information at this stage needs to remain confidential until the formal consultation process has identified sites, if any, which may become the location for waste facilities. To give out such information before then risks causing unnecessary alarm and could influence site values inappropriately, thereby potentially increasing eventual acquisition costs for any sites wherever located which may be required.

My referral to you in reply to the supplementary question asked by Councillor Bond was certainly not facetious it was based on my assumption, from statements attributed to you in the past, that you are aware of proposals. You have repeatedly claimed to know that NLWA and Enfield were "secretly" planning to increase the number of waste facilities in the borough, despite my repeated assurance that this was not so. If in fact you are not in possession of information, then of course you will be able to inform Councillor Bond accordingly and perhaps desist from making such claims.

Yours sincerely

Councillor Terence Neville OBE JP

**Cabinet Member for Environment and Street Scene** 

#### **MOTIONS 6 MAY 2009**

#### 10.1 In the name of Councillor Rodin

"This Council is aware of the financial difficulties being faced by many Enfield residents in the current economic situation. It recognises that its decision to increase the Council Tax for 2009/10 was unwelcome. It is also aware that the Council has substantial financial reserves. It therefore resolves: -

- 1. to change its decision taken at the Council meeting on 25 February to increase the Council Tax for 2009/10 and instead to set a Council Tax for 2009/10 at the same level as that for 2008/9
- 2. to declare its intention to set the Enfield element of the Council Tax for 2010/11 at the same level as the Council Tax for 2008/9."

This page is intentionally left blank

#### MUNICIPAL YEAR 2009/10 REPORT NO. 1

**MEETING TITLE AND DATE:** 

COUNCIL - 6.5.09

**REPORT OF:** 

Director of Finance and Corporate Resources

Contact Officer: John Austin 020 8379 4094

AGENDA - PART:	1	ITEM: 12
SUBJECT:		

MEMBERSHIP OF COMMITTEES AND PANELS

#### 1. <u>SUMMARY</u>

To determine the constitution and political balance of the committees, joint committees and panels that have been set up for the discharge of the Council's functions.

#### 2. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

- 2.1 That the seats allocated to each political party, on the committees and boards to which Section 15 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 apply, be as set out in Appendix A of this report.
- 2.2 In accordance with paragraph 3.3 of the report, Council resolves without dissent that the rules of political proportionality should not apply to those bodies marked with an asterisk on Appendix A.

#### 3. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

- 3.1 Section 15 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 imposes a duty on Councils to review the representation of different political groups on bodies to which the Council makes appointments. The Act requires that the review should be held at the Annual Meeting or as soon as practicable after that meeting.
- 3.2 The Act provides four principles concerning political representation that must be observed when conducting a review. The four principles are:-
  - (a) That not all seats are allocated to the same political party.
  - (b) That the political group with the majority of seats should have the majority of seats on each committee.

- (c) Subject to (a) and (b) that the total number of seats allocated to political groups on all committees (to which Section 15 of the Act applies), shall be in the same proportion as the total number of seats on the authority held by each political group.
- (d) Subject to (a) to (c) that the number of seats allocated to each political group on a committee (to which Section 15 of the Act applies), shall be the same as the proportion of the total number of seats on the authority held by each political group.
- 3.3 The fourth principle can be waived if the members of the Council agree with no member voting against. Where the fourth principle is waived the allocation of seats on the committee in question must still be included in the calculation as to the total number of seats held by each political group on all committees.

#### 4. CONSIDERATIONS

The committees and boards to which the provisions of Section 15 of the Act apply are shown in Appendix A together with the overall political balance of the Council.

#### 5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications arising from the recommendations contained within this report.

#### 6. <u>LEGAL IMPLICATIONS</u>

There is a specific duty imposed on the Council to review each year at the Annual meeting, or as soon as practicable thereafter, the representation of different political groups. The legislation provides that the Council may make alternative arrangements to the 'proportionality' principles within this report only by a unanimous vote. However a political group may decide to offer a seat on a committee or panel to another political group. This does not affect the proportionality principles as it remains within the gift of that political group to determine how it wishes to exercise that discretion, if at all.

#### 7. CONCLUSIONS

- 7.1 There is a duty imposed on the Council to review, at the Annual Meeting or as soon as possible thereafter, the political balance of bodies to which it makes appointments.
- 7.2 The bodies in question are the committees and boards shown in the table contained in the appendix to this report.
- 7.3 The table shows the political balance of the Council and the number of seats suggested for allocation to each political party in respect of each

committee or board, so as to comply with the provisions of Section 15 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989.

#### **Background Papers**

None.

This page is intentionally left blank

## MEMBERS PROPORTIONALITY FOR MEETINGS Page 13

	No. of				
Title of Meeting	Members	Conservative	Labour	SCF	Total %
		33	28	2	63
		52.38%	44.44%	3.17%	100%
Overview & Scrutiny Committee	6	4	2	311175	6
Childrens' Services Scrutiny Panel	9	5	4		9
Environment, Parks & Leisure Scrutiny Panel	9	5	4		9
Health Scrutiny Panel	9	5	3	1	9
Housing Scrutiny Panel	9	5	4	·	9
Crime and Safety Scrutiny Panel	9	5	3	1	9
Adult Social Services Scrutiny Panel	9	5	4		9
Enfield Leisure Centres Ltd. Scrutiny Commission	5	3	2		5
Health Care for London Joint Scrutiny Committee *	2	1	1		2
Young Peoples Life Opportunities Scrutiny Commission	6	3	3		6
Standards Committee *	6	3	3		6
Audit Committee	7	4	3		7
Planning Committee	15	8	6	1	15
Conservation Advisory Group *	5	2	2	1	5
Constitution Review Group	5	3	2	'	5
Green Belt Forum *	5	2	2	1	5
Licensing Committee	15	8	7	'	15
Education Standard Forum	7	4	3		7
Learning Difficulties Partnership Board *	2	1	1		2
Joint Consultative Group for Teachers & Staff Forum	5	3	2		5
Member Governor Forum	5	3	2		5
Parents Forum *	4	2	2		4
	3	2	1		3
Complaints Against Curriculum Panel Admissions Forum	5	3	2		5
	2	<u> </u>	1		
Secondary Tuition Centre * Schools Forum *	1	Į.	1		2 1
		2	2		•
Standing Advisory Council for Religious Education *	4				2
Adoption Panel *	2	l l	1		
Fostering Panel *	2	1	1		2
Corporate Parenting Group *	2	1	1		2
Appointment Panel	5	3	2		5
Eastern District Community Housing Partnership	9	5	4		9
Edmonton District Community Housing Partnership *	9	0	9		9
Western District Community Housing Partnership	9	5	2	2	9
Edmonton Partnership Working Group *	4	2	2		4
Electoral Review Panel	7	4	3		7
Pension Fund Board	7	4	3	4	7
Public Transport Consultative Group *	11	5	5	1	11
Staff Appeals Panel	11	6	5	4	11
Tenant Participation Working Group *	5	2	2	1	5
Twinning Working Party *	6	3	3		6
Housing Services Board	3	2	1		3
Enfield Council Working Group on the Olympic and Paralympics Games	5	3	2		5
TOTAL	266	139	118	9	266
Actual Percentage of Representation		52.26%	44.36%	3.38%	100%

This page is intentionally left blank

# LBE CALENDAR OF MEETINGS 2009/2010

CIRCULATION 1 (1 MAY 2009)

		Page 15 Agenda Ite											lter																	
MAY 10	Wed 26 Annual								Wed 05*										Thurs 27*	Thurs 27		Tues 04								
APR 10			Wed 21						Tues 06* Thurs 22		Mon 19	Wed 28	Tues 27			Tues 20		Thurs 15	Tues 27*	Mon 26	Tues 20									
MAR 10	Wed 31		Wed 03 & 24	Wed 10			Thurs 04		Thurs 11 Tues 30*			Mon 01		Wed 10	Tues 23		Tues 02		Tues 16*	Tues 16	Thurs 25			Tues 02	Thurs 04	Thurs 25	Tues 09	Wed 17	Wed 24	Tues 30
FEB 10	Wed 24		Wed 10				Thurs 04		<b>Tues 02*</b> Thurs 18*		Mon 01		Thurs 11			Tues 09			Thurs 11*	Tues 23	Thurs 25									
JAN 10	Tues 26		Wed 20				Tues 05		Wed 13* Thurs 21 <sup>2</sup>					Thurs 14	Tues 12		Tues 05		Tues 12*	Tues 19	Wed 20	Wed 6			Wed 06		Thurs 07	Wed 13	Tues 05	
DEC 09			Wed 16						Thurs 3* Tues 22*		Tues 15	Wed 02	Tues 08			Wed 09			Tues 08*	Thurs 17	Wed 02			Thurs 10		Wed 09				Tues 01
NOV 09	Wed 11		Wed 04 & 25	Wed 18	Tue 3				Tues 03 Thurs 19*					Tues 17	Tues 10		Tues 24		Wed 18*	Mon 30	Thurs 05									
0CT 09			Wed 07	Wed 14			Tues 20		Wed 14* Wed 21*		Thurs 01		Mon 19					Thurs 15	Thurs 15*	Tues 20	Tues 06			Tues 13	Thurs 15		Thurs 08	Wed 21		Mon 19
SEPT 09	Wed 23		Wed 16		Mon 28				Wed 02* Thurs 17*			Tues 08		Wed 09	Tues 29	Tues 15	Thurs 10		Thurs 03*	Tues 22	Tues 01	Thurs 17				Tues 08			Wed 30	
AUG 09									Thur 13*											Wed 26										
MILY 09	Wed 01		Wed 15		Mon 6				Tues 07* Wed 22		Thurs 09	Thurs 16	Tues 21	Wed 08		Mon 06		Thurs 30	Tues 21*	Wed 29	Thurs 02 Tues 28						Tues 14	Wed 22		Wed 15
JUNE 09			Wed 17	Wed 10			Wed 03		Mon 08* Tues 23*		Thurs $11^1$	Wed 031	$01 \text{ or } 08^{1}$		Tues 16	Tues 021	Tues 30	Mon 01	Thurs 25*	Wed 24	Tues 02	Tues 09		Tues 30	Tues 09	Thurs 18			Wed 10	
MAY 09	Wed 06 Annual		Thurs 21						Tues 123 Tues 26*					Tues 191	Mon 18 <sup>1</sup>				Thurs 07*	Thurs 14 Wed 20										
	COUNCIL (7 meetings)		CABINET	STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP FORUM	Local Development Framework Cab Sub	Working Grp - Olympic/Paralympic Games	Constitution Review Group	SCRUTINY	OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE	PANELS	Children's Services	Environment, Parks & Leisure	Health	Housing	Adult Social Services	Crime & Safety	AUDIT COMMITTEE	STANDARDS COMMITTEE	Assessment Sub-Committee	PLANNING COMMITTEE	Conservation Advisory Group	Green Belt Forum	AREA FORUMS	Bowes, Southgate & Southgate Green	Bush Hill Park, Palmers Green & Winchmore Hill	Cockfosters, Grange & Highlands	• Chase, Southbury & Town	Enfield Highway, Enfield Lock & Turkey     Street	Jubilee, Lower Edmonton & Ponders End	Edmonton Green, Haselbury & Upper Edmonton

Democratic Services Team Contact: James Kinsella Tel. (0208) 379 4041

All dates subject to change. Recent changes are shown in **Bold**.

<sup>1</sup> Informal meeting – Work Programme Planning
<sup>2</sup> Scrutiny Budget Commission
<sup>3</sup> Scrutiny Member Induction
\***Provisional Call-in (if required)** 

# LBE CALENDAR OF MEETINGS 2009/2010

CIRCULATION 1 (1 MAY 2009)

Democratic Services Team Contact: James Kinsella Tel. (0208) 379 4041

All dates subject to change. Recent changes are shown in **Bold**.

<sup>1</sup> Informal meeting – Work Programme Planning
<sup>2</sup> Scrutiny Budget Commission
<sup>3</sup> Scrutiny Member Induction
\***Provisional Call-in (if required)**